From: John Mcdonald
To: Microsoft ATR
Date: 1/10/02 1:06pm
Subject: ms case

The sooner the trial is over the better for everyone. At the same time I
really don't see any problem
with breaking MS into different parts. If their code is so good and
developed the way they like
to claim that it is, then there shouldn't be any problem with a separate
company developing
Office to run on Windows for example. That is unless they have something to
hide, and I personally
suspect they have plenty. Why is it that everything has to be incorporated
into the system. Why can't
they simply develop Windows and then programs and or applications can then
be installed
separately at a later time. MS could certainly sell these other products,
people could purchase
them if they want and install them at their leisure. I'll tell you why I
think they are doing it the way
they are: they are greedy bastards that only care about the bottom line.
They haven't innovated
anything they've only incorporated ideas they either borrowed or bought from
someone else.
They crank out one OS after another all the while adding things that somehow
cut the competition.
Their software is so full of holes it's laughable. Patch after patch after
patch. Things that could
have been prevented had they taken the time to do it right instead of
forcing it sooner to
make more money. They already have most of the PC market right? Why push out
the new
stuff so fast? It's all about getting our money, why else would they be now
trying to tell
us that we should pay them monthly or whatever for a service. What exactly
are they going
to offer that doesn't already exist. Nothing, they'll resole an old shoe and
push it as something
new. What a joke. That said thing is I really liked 95 when it came out, but
that's all changed.